



APERC Workshop at EWG 55
Hong Kong, China, 14 May, 2018

2-2. Oil and Gas Security Exercise in Peru

Diego RIVERA RIVOTA
Researcher



APEC Oil and Gas Security Exercises (OGSE)

- The [APEC Oil and Gas Security Exercise \(OGSE\)](#) is a response to the 10th APEC Energy Ministers Meeting Declaration in Saint Petersburg, Russia on 24-25 June 2012.
- OGSE is a process in which [hypothetical scenarios](#) are prepared simulating an oil and/or gas supply disruption. The supply disruption may be caused by natural disasters, technical failure, political unrest, among other factors.
- The main objectives of OGSE:
 - To study domestic systems (policies, plans, protocols, etc.) for emergency preparedness in each APEC economy.
 - Increase awareness on energy security policies and emergency preparedness.
 - Share best practices among APEC economies.
- APERC has held five exercises:
 1. Joint [Southeast Asian Exercise](#) in Bangkok, Thailand in September 2013.
 2. [Indonesian Exercise](#) in October 2013.
 3. [The Philippines Exercise](#) in December 2015 (first under [the Oil and Gas Security Initiative \(OGSI\)](#)).
 4. Exercise for [Regional Capacity Building in Australia](#) with participation of Indonesia, the Philippines and Thailand in March 2017.
 5. Peruvian Exercise in November 2017.

Oil and Gas Security Exercise in Peru

- 3 day exercise in Lima, Peru on November 6-8, 2017.
- Co-organised by APERC and Peru's Ministry of Energy and Mines.
- The Expert Team was composed of 6 specialists on energy security from the APEC region.
- Around 30 Peruvian participants from Ministries, regulators, local governments, private sector and academia.
- The OGSE in Peru was a 'blind' type exercise.
- Realistic approach to emergency scenarios, with limited time and information.
- 2 separate scenarios: oil and gas.



Expert Team

1 **Ms. Sylvia Larrea**
*Lead Energy Specialist
Inter-American Development Bank (IADB)*

2 **Ms. Martha Vides Lozano**
*Senior Hydrocarbons Specialist,
Latin American Energy Organization (OLADE)*

3 **Dr. Phoumin Han**
*Energy Economist
Economic Research Institute for ASEAN and
East Asia (ERIA)*

4 **Mr. John Powell**
Director, Office of Liquid Fuels Analysis, U.S. Department of Energy

5 **Mr. Hiroaki Maruyama**
*Project Director,
Japan Oil, Gas and Metals National Corporation (JOGMEC)*

6 **Mr. Pedro Gamio**
*Professor and Researcher,
Institute for the Science of Nature, Territory and Renewable Energies (INTE - PUCP)*



The first scenario: Oil supply disruption.



Map source: Google Map



- Peru's central coast region, where Lima is located, was hit by an **8.8 magnitude earthquake**. The earthquake was followed by a **tsunami**.
- The La Pampilla refinery, located in the port of Callao, has **completely stopped operations** due to damage caused by both the earthquake and the tsunami.
- With a capacity of 102 000 b/d (half of Peru's total) out of operation, the main impact will be in the central coast region where **around 40% of gasoline and 30% of diesel** demand is produced.
- Distillation Units 1 and 2 were heavily damaged, resulting in a **total loss of production of fuel products and around 50% of stock products**.
- The repair of the damaged **Distillation Units 1 and 2 facilities is expected to take at least 2 months** and **at least 3 weeks for Distillation Unit 3**.

Oil emergency scenario participant's responses

- Importing extraordinary oil products cargoes by ship.
- Using production from the Talara refinery.
- Clearing major highways and roads, as they need to be used to transport oil products from other refineries or terminals.
- Rationing demand and cancelling non-essential activities – such as school and university classes.
- Reviewing basic services first, such as hospitals and food distribution.
- Assessing the damage on the affected refining infrastructure.
- Rationalising demand.
- Using the contingency fund to buy oil products.



The second scenario: Gas supply disruption.

- Strong rains caused a massive landslide, also known as “huaico”.
- This landslide **fractured** the **Camisea gas pipeline**, which transports **more than 90% of Peru’s natural gas** coming from the Camisea field.
- All natural gas flows are **completely stopped**. The repair team cannot reach the damaged area due to safety concerns as heavy rains are still happening.
- Repairing the pipeline and the normalisation of natural gas flows will take **at least 3 weeks**, depending on the extent of the damage.
- Unavailability of the gas pipelines implications:
 1. Around **50% of power generation** capacity off. Even by turning on oil-fueled power plants, blackouts are expected.
 2. **Industrial and residential** natural gas users will face, at least partial, shortages of natural gas.
 3. All **LNG export** cargoes will be suspended.



Gas emergency scenario participant's responses

- The government should clarify in detail the infrastructure that was damaged during the disaster.
- Assuring there were no other fractures or leaks in the pipeline.
- Maximising hydropower generation.
- Dispatching as much as possible power plants fuelled by oil and coal.
- Importing as much electricity as possible from Ecuador.
- Rationalising power demand with the exception of vital facilities.
- Suspending all LNG export cargoes.
- Using gas available in the LNG exports plant facility.
- Maximising the use of LPG as a substitute fuel.



Expert Review Team recommendations

- Peru should carefully redesign its **emergency management organisation**, particularly involving disruptions in oil and gas supply.
- Setting up a **task force** for energy emergency situations.
- **Clear roles** for organisations in the event of an emergency have to be developed for **emergency measures** such as:
 - internal information flows,
 - communicating with the public,
 - analysing data and the extent of damage,
 - mandating restrictive policies,
 - soliciting international support,
 - providing overall coordination of emergency relief efforts.
- Setting up an organisation responsible for monitoring and holding **oil products emergency stocks**.
- Including energy security and preparedness in Peru's next **energy plan**.
- Future **regulatory framework** should be able to cover emergency supply.



Expert Review Team recommendations

- Establishing a robust **database** with detailed information on electricity and hydrocarbons supply:
 - power generation installed capacity, reserve margin, main supply routes,
 - energy demand estimates of essential services,
 - oil product stocks levels,
 - crude oil and product import volumes,
 - production per refinery, sales, and pipelines, ports and land tankers capacity.
- Assessing **demand elasticity** and **the economic and financial costs** of an emergency.
- Recommencing the construction of **the *Gasoducto Sur Peruano* gas pipeline**.
- **Diversifying power generation sources** to reduce gas dependency, especially by promoting renewable sources.
- Conducting a thorough analysis for building **a Floating Storage and Regasification Unit (FSRU)** to import gas during emergencies and in the medium term.
- Strengthening **energy efficiency policies**.
- Developing an **international cooperation framework** to import fuels during emergencies.

Conclusion

- Despite the existence of an Energy Security Law and the relatively high vulnerability of some fields of the Peruvian energy sector, an **energy security** framework and policies need to be further strengthened in Peru's planning.
- Participants emphasised that Peru has been focusing on supply security, mainly, if not exclusively, by infrastructure development.
- Peru relies heavily on crude oil and product imports. Most of their **infrastructure concentrated in coastal** areas is vulnerable to earthquakes, and floods.
- More than 95% of natural gas production comes from the **Camisea** field.
- Almost all of this gas is transported by a **single pipeline** and is used to generate **45% of Peru's electricity** and **60% of LPG production**.
- The Peruvian oil and gas sectors are **severely exposed** to supply disruptions, especially natural disasters.
- The exercise exposed that Peruvian authorities did **not** have a **clear responsibility distribution** during an emergency scenario.
- While disaster preparedness plans and actions involve considerable **investments** and challenges for Peru, recovery and emergency losses **without preparedness** are not only greater but could also be catastrophic.



Thank you for you attention.

<http://aperc.ieej.or.jp/>